## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ABDOUL KADER OUSSEINI MOUSSA

6730 Leeds St.

Philadelphia, PA 19151

**CIVIL ACTION** 

Plaintiff,

No.:

v.

C&D ENTERPRISES, INC d/b/a
ALLIED UNIVERSAL SECURITY

**SERVICES** 

161 Washington St., Suite 600

Conshohocken, PA 19428

and

UNIVERSAL PROTECTION

SERVICE, LLC

d/b/a ALLIED UNIVERSAL SECURITY

**SERVICES** 

161 Washington St., Suite 600

Conshohocken, PA 19428

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

## **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Abdoul Kader Ousseini Moussa (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

#### I. Introduction

1. Plaintiff has initiated this action to redress violations by C&D Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Allied University Security Services and Universal Protections Service, LLC d/b/a Allied Universal Security Services (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants," unless indicated otherwise) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII" - 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e, et. seq.) and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA - 43 Pa. C.S. §§ 951 et.

seq.). Plaintiff asserts herein that he was unlawfully terminated from his employment with Defendants in violation of these laws and seeks damages as set forth more fully herein.

## II. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 2. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants' contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v. State of Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 3. This action is initiated pursuant to a federal law. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the claims arise under laws of the United States. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's future state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as his federal claims herein.
- 4. Venue is properly laid in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), because Defendants reside in and/or conduct business in this judicial district and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.
- 5. Plaintiff filed a Charge of discrimination and retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and also dual-filed said charge with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"). Plaintiff has properly exhausted his administrative proceedings before initiating this action by timely filing and dual-filing his

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff's claim under the PHRA is referenced herein for notice purposes. He is required to wait 1 full year before initiating a lawsuit from date of dual-filing with the EEOC. Plaintiff must however file his lawsuit in advance of same because of the date of issuance of his federal right-to-sue letter under Title VII. Plaintiff's PHRA claims however will mirror identically his federal claims under Title VII.

Charge with the EEOC and PHRC, and by filing the instant lawsuit within 90 days of receiving a right-to-sue letter from the EEOC.

## III. Parties

- 6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 7. Plaintiff is an adult who resides at the above-captioned address.
- 8. Defendant C&D Enterprises, Inc. is a facility services company based in the United States. It also offers security systems solutions, janitorial services and staffing.
- 9. Defendant Universal Protections Service, LLC is a company that primarily operates in the Security Guard Service business / industry.
- 10. Upon information and belief, because of their interrelation of operations, common ownership or management, centralized control of labor relations, common ownership or financial controls, and other factors, Defendants are sufficiently interrelated and integrated in their activities, labor relations, ownership and management that they may be treated as a single and/or joint employer for purposes of the instant action.
- 11. At all times relevant herein, Defendants acted by and through their agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for the benefit of Defendants.

## IV. Factual Background

- 12. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 13. Plaintiff is a black male from Niger.

- 14. Plaintiff was born Muslim and still strictly adheres to his religion, including but not limited to, diet, consistent attendance at Mosque, prayer every day and observance of holidays (or holy days).
- 15. Plaintiff was hired by Defendants in or about September of 2011 as a security officer.
- 16. Plaintiff worked for Defendants for approximately seven (7) years until we was unlawfully terminated on or about May 22, 2019 (discussed further *infra*).
- 17. While employed with Defendants, Plaintiff was a dedicated and hard-working employee who performed his job well.
- 18. During his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff worked as a security guard at multiple different locations; however, for the last approximate two years of his employment, Plaintiff was placed to work at a third-party location Presbyterian Medical Center (in Philadelphia, PA).
- 19. Towards the end of his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was primarily supervised by one, Nicholas Kulin (non-Muslim).
- 20. Under the supervision of Kulin, Plaintiff typically worked the 4:00 p.m. 12:00 a.m. shift.
- 21. On or about May 16, 2018, Plaintiff requested from Kulin to be switched to the 8:00 a.m. 4:00 p.m. shift for approximately one (1) month for observance of the Ramadan holiday commencing on May 17, 2018 (a reasonable religious accommodation).
- 22. Even though Plaintiff's request to switch shifts was an easy accommodation, as others would have switched or could have been rotated onto his shift, his aforesaid accommodation request was denied.

- 23. After Plaintiff's first religious accommodation request was denied (discussed supra), Plaintiff requested of Kulin an alternative religious accommodation of being allowed to commence his (already permitted) thirty-minute break at 8:15 p.m. sharp for the month of Ramadan so that he could pray and eat after fasting all day.
- 24. Plaintiff was assured by Kulin that he would be given his alternative religious accommodation (discussed in Paragraph 23 of this Complaint) and that his supervisor would relieve him for his aforesaid religious break each night.
- 25. However, on his first three (3) days scheduled to work during the month of Ramadan (May 19, 2018 through May 21, 2018), Plaintiff was never relieved for his break and therefore not provided the alternative religious accommodation that he had requested.
- 26. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff expressed to Kulin that he had concerns with Defendants refusing to accommodate his religious beliefs.
- 27. On or about May 22, 2018, in close proximity to his aforementioned complaint of religious discrimination/failure to accommodate, Plaintiff was terminated from his employment with Defendants for completely pretextual reasons.

# First Cause of Action Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII") (Religious Discrimination & Retaliation) -Against Both Defendants-

- 28. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 29. Plaintiff was: (1) denied reasonable religious accommodations; (2) terminated due to his religious beliefs, his requested accommodations, and/or for expressing concerns of religious discrimination.
  - 30. Defendants' actions as aforesaid constitute violations of Title VII.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an order providing that:

- A. Defendants are to be prohibited from continuing to maintain their illegal policy, practice, or custom of retaliating against employees and are to be ordered to promulgate an effective policy against such discrimination/retaliation and to adhere thereto;
- B. Defendants are to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendants' illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, bonuses and medical and other benefits. Plaintiff should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld from the date he first suffered discrimination/retaliation at the hands of Defendants until the date of verdict;
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive damages as permitted by applicable law in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendants for their willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct, and to deter Defendants from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- D. Plaintiff is to be accorded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper, and appropriate (including but not limited to emotional distress/pain and suffering damages where permitted under applicable law(s)).
- E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable legal fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;
- F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal law;

G. Plaintiff's claims are to receive a trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

Ari R. Karpf, Esquire 3331 Street Road Building 2, Suite 128 Bensalem, PA 19020 (215) 639-0801

Dated: May 20, 2019

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Abdoul Kader C	Dusseini Moussa		CIVIL ACTION	
v	<b>'</b> ,	:		
C&D Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Allied Universal Sect	urity Services, et al.	;	NO.	٠.
plaintiff shall complete filing the complaint and side of this form.) In designation, that defend	a Case Management serve a copy on all de the event that a def lant shall, with its fi er parties, a Case Ma	t Track Designa lefendants. (See lendant does no rst appearance, anagement Trac	Reduction Plan of this court, couns ation Form in all civil cases at the time \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the rest agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and ser it. Designation Form specifying the ed.	me of everse g said ve on
SELECT ONE OF TH	E FOLLOWING (	CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus - Co	ases brought under 2	28 U.S.C. § 224	1 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security - Co and Human Service	ases requesting revie s denying plaintiff S	ew of a decision locial Security I	of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	( )
(c) Arbitration - Cases	required to be desig	nated for arbitr	ation under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases in exposure to asbesto		personal injury o	or property damage from	( )
commonly referred	to as complex and the cree side of this form	hat need special	s (a) through (d) that are or intense management by explanation of special	()
(f) Standard Manageme	ent - Cases that do r	not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	<b>(</b> X)
5/20/2019		<b>&gt;</b> -	Plaintiff .	
Date	Attorney-	at-law	Attorney for	
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4	970	akarpf@karpf-law.com	
Tolanhana	FAX Nn	mher	E-Mail Address	

(Clv. 660) 10/02

## Case 2:19-cv-02183-JD Document 1 Filed 05/20/19 Page 9 of 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 6730 Leeds Street, Philadelphia, PA 19151
Address of Defendant: 161 Washington Street, Suite 600, Conshohocken, PA 19428
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendants place of business
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:
Pase Number: Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:
. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No X
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit  Yes  No X
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?
. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No X
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.
ATE: 5/20/2019 ARK2484/91538
Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)
IVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)
TY III. (t late a 4 m one tategory only)
Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA  1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury
Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA  1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury
Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA  1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury
B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:   1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA
B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:   1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA
Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:   Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:   1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA
Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Other Personal Injury   7. Products Liability   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases   9. All other Personal Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases   9. All other Personal Cases   9. All other Personal Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases   9. All other Personal Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases   9. All other Personal Cases   9. All other Diversity Cas
Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:   Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:   B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
Federal Question Cases:   B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   I. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   Insurance Contract and Other Personal Injury   Insurance Contract and Other Personal Injury   Insurance Contract and Other Personal Injury   Insurance Contracts   Insurance Cont
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   6. Labor-Management Relations   7. Civil Rights   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability - Asbestos   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):     ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)    Ari R. Karpf   Ari Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:
Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:   1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

**Print** 

Save As...

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  OUSSEINI MOUSSA, ABDOUL KADER  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Bucks  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			DEFENDANTS						
				C&D ENTERPRISES, INC. D/B/A ALLIED UNIVERSAL SECURITY SERVICES, ET AL.					
			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Known)					
Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, Suite 128, Bensalem, PA									
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in G	One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in C and One Box fo		
1 U.S. Government X 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			P	TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In	incipal Place	PTF 4	DEF 4	
2 U.S. Government 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 of Business In Another State						
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	$\Gamma$ (Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)			Clic	k here for: Nature	of Suit Code Des	criptions	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Froduct Liability Froduct Liability Personal Injury Product Liability Grade Assestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER Grade Affiliation Truth in Lending Grade Personal Property Damage Froduct Liability Personal Property Damage Product Liability	0 694 0 710 0 720 0 744 1 751	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other  Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act	0 820 Cop. 0 830 Pate 0 835 Pate New 0 840 Trad	yrights nt nt - Abbreviated v Drug Application lemark  1395ff) lk Lung (923) 2/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	0 480 Consume   0 490 Cable/Sat   0 850 Securities   Exchang   0 890 Other Sta   0 891 Agricultu   0 893 Environm   0 895 Freedom	(31 USC ) pportionm d Banking co on r Influence Organizatio r Credit t TV /Commod: c tutory Act ral Acts cental Mature	ed and ons
210 Land Condemnation     220 Foreclosure     230 Rent Lease & Ejectment     240 Torts to Land     245 Tort Product Liability     290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil Rights     441 Voting     442 Employment     443 Housing     Accommodations     445 Amer. w/Disabilities -     Employment     446 Amer. w/Disabilities -     Other     448 Education	Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Othe  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	① 791 ① 462	Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act  Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	or Defendant)  0 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		Act  896 Arbitration  899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision  950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	n One Box Only) moved from	Remanded from [Appellate Court	] 4 Reins Reop	tated or ' 5 Transfe ened Anothe (specify,	erred from er District	0 6 Multidist Litigation Transfer	n -	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Title VII (42USC				utes unless div	versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE	S IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	i Di	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND		omplain 'No	t:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	~		DOCKE	ETNUMBER			
5/20/2019		SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNEY O	RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT# AN	40UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE		
		<del></del>		<del>-</del>					

Reset